This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/503,506	02/14/2000	Sang-seo Lee	Q57599	6707	
. 75	08/04/2004		EXAM	INER	
Sughrue Mion Zinn MACPeak & Seas			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B		
2100 Pennsylva	mia Avenue N. W.				
Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2142	2142	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Autieur Communication	09/503,506	LEE, SANG-SEO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Douglas B Blair	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 May 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 16-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 16-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Aug A seem A						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/503,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 5/6/2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent Number 6,597,918 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,400,958 to Isomursu et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,185,208 to Liao.
- 4. As to claim 1, Isomursu teaches a data sending protocol using a short message service (col. 5, lines 52-65), the transmission protocol comprising the steps of: (a) inserting a data connection service identifier into a user data field (col. 6, lines 29-60, the application identifier); (b) segmenting input message data into a plurality of short message data fields and inserting a segmented message data field, and a field indicating a current short message number, into the user data field (col. 6, lines 1-28); (c) generating a short message field using the user data field

Application/Control Number: 09/503,506

Art Unit: 2142

(col. 6, lines 1-28); and (d) transmitting the short message field (col. 6, lines 29-60); however Isomursu does not explicitly teach a field indicating the number of short messages.

Liao teaches a field indicating the number of segmented short messages (col. 5, lines 17-55).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Isomursu regarding the provision of short message service with longer messages with the teachings of Liao regarding the a field indicating the number of segmented short messages because indicating the total number of messages in a longer message allows the receiver to reconstruct the original longer message (Liao, col. 5, lines 17-55).

- 5. As to claim 2, Isomursu teaches the data sending protocol of claim 1, wherein the step (a) uses a code for data connection service identifier which is not used in an ASCII code table (col. 6, lines 38-41).
- 6. As to claim 3, Isomursu teaches the data sending protocol of claim 1, wherein the step (a) uses a code data connection service identifier which is not used in a KS5601 standard (col. 6, lines 38-41).
- 7. As to claim 4, neither Isomursu nor Liao teach the use of the codes 98H or 99H; however it would be an obvious design choice to use such codes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Computer Networking art at the time of the invention to use the codes 98H and 99H because these codes represent arbitrary numbers and therefore would have been obvious choices for a numbered code that is arbitrary.

Application/Control Number: 09/503,506

Art Unit: 2142

8. As to claim 5, Isomursu teaches a data sending protocol of claim 1, further comprising data connection service identifier in the user data field (col. 22, lines 24-55, the short message identifier.).

Page 4

- 9. As to claim 6, Isomursu teaches a data sending protocol of claim 1, further comprising a step of (f) translating a delivery message and extracting an identifier requesting retransmission of data (col. 22, lines 24-55).
- 10. As to claim 7, Liao teaches a step of extracting a field indicating a total number of short messages (col. 5, lines 17-55) and Isomursu teaches a step of extracting a field indicating a retransmission request short message number (col. 22, lines 24-55).
- 11. As to claims 16-22, they feature the same limitations as claims 1-7, directed to apparatus for implementing the protocol from claims 1-7, and are thus rejected on the same basis as claims 1-7.
- 12. Claims 8 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 6,400,958 to Isomursu et al. in view of U.S. Patent Number 6,185,208 to Liao in further view of U.S. Patent Number 6,141,550 to Ayabe et al..
- 13. As to claim 8, Isomursu teaches the data sending protocol of claim 7, wherein the step (f) further comprises generating a short message field using the user data field and retransmitting the short message field (col. 22, lines 24-55); however Isomursu does not explicitly teach inserting a data field corresponding to the number of the short message.

Ayabe teaches the insertion of, among segmented short messages, a short message data field corresponding to the retransmission request short message number, into a user data field (col. 7, lines 8-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/503,506

Art Unit: 2142

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Communications engineering art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Isomursu regarding a short message service implementation with the teachings of Ayabe regarding the insertion of a data field corresponding to a retransmission request because inserting a number for retransmissions allows a receiver to determine which fields are duplicates (Ayabe, col. 7, lines 8-30).

14. As to claim 23, it features the same limitations as claims 7 and 8 and is thus rejected for the same reasons as claims 7 and 8.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/6/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the following points: (a) Liao does not show a field indicating the total number of segmented short messages; and (b) Isomursu fails to teach or suggest the feature of inserting a reference number field, which indicates a number for referring to a type of data connection employed, into a position next to the data connection service identifier in the user data field.

As to point (a), the flag discussed in column 6, lines 57-61 of Liao indicates the last short message and therefore the total number of messages. The applicant's claim limitations do not stipulate the content of the field or the timing of the delivery of the field.

As to point (b), the reference number field is a non-functional limitation because the applicant's specification provides no details as to how or even if such a field is even processed at the receiving end. Isomursu teaches a data connection service identifier as cited above.

Art Unit: 2142

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B Blair whose telephone number is 703-305-5267. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Harvey can be reached on 703-305-9705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Douglas Blair